

Student seminar solutions Week 10

1. Looking at the proof of Lemma 4.1 in the book of Childress, construct the function δ_1 , prove it is well-defined and prove that $\text{im}(\delta_1) = \ker(f_0)$.

Proof. We recall that we have a short exact sequence of G -modules

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

We also recall the construction of $\delta_1 : H^1(C) \rightarrow H^0(B)$ as in the book of Childress. Let $c \in \ker_C s(G)$. Since g is surjective, there exists $a_1 \in A$ such that $g(a_1) = c$. But since $c \in \ker_C s(G)$, we have

$$0 = s(G)(g(a_1)) = g(s(G)(a_1))$$

so that $s(G)(a_1) \in \ker(g) = \text{im}(f)$. Then there exists $b_1 \in B$ with $f(b_1) = s(G)(a_1)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\sigma - 1)s(G)(a_1) \\ &= (\sigma - 1)f(b_1) \\ &= f((\sigma - 1)(b_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by injectivity of f we get $(\sigma - 1)(b_1) = 0$ so that $b_1 \in \ker_B (\sigma - 1)$. So we may define

$$\delta_1(c + (\sigma - 1)C) = b_1 + s(G)B$$

Let's check that δ_1 is well-defined. Suppose $c + (\sigma - 1)C = c' + (\sigma - 1)C$ in $H^1(C)$. Repeating the above for c' , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a'_1 &\in A \text{ with } g(a'_1) = c', \text{ and} \\ b'_1 &\in B \text{ with } f(b'_1) = s(G)(a'_1) \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$b_1 - b'_1 \in s(G)B$$

We have that $c - c' \in (\sigma - 1)C$ and since g is surjective, there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$c - c' = (\sigma - 1)(g(a)) = g((\sigma - 1)(a))$$

Also

$$c - c' = g(a_1) - g(a'_1) = g(a_1 - a'_1)$$

Thus

$$g(a_1 - a'_1 - (\sigma - 1)(a)) = 0$$

So that $a_1 - a'_1 - (\sigma - 1)(a) \in \ker(g) = \text{im}(f)$. But now there exists $b \in B$ with

$$f(b) = a_1 - a'_1 - (\sigma - 1)(a)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s(G)f(b) &= s(G)(a_1 - a'_1 - (\sigma - 1)(a)) \\ &= f(b_1) - f(b'_1) \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$f(s(G)(b)) = f(b_1 - b'_1)$$

And by injectivity of f we get that

$$b_1 - b'_1 \in s(G)B$$

as wanted.

Now that we know that δ_1 is well-defined, let's show that $\text{im}(\delta_1) = \ker(f_0)$. First let $c \in \ker_c s(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\delta_1(c + (\sigma - 1)C)) &= f_0(b_1 + s(G)B) \\ &= f(b_1) + s(G)A \\ &= s(G)a_1 + s(G)A \\ &= s(G)A \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{im}(\delta_1) \subseteq \ker(f_0)$. Now let $b + s(G)B \in \ker(f_0)$, it means that $f(b) \in s(G)A$. Hence there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(b) = s(G)(a)$. Define $c = g(a) \in C$, and observe that

$$s(G)(c) = s(G)(g(a)) = g(s(G)(a)) = g(f(b)) = 0$$

so that $c \in \ker_C (\sigma - 1)$, and by definition

$$\delta_1(c + (\sigma - 1)C) = b + s(G)B$$

So $\ker(f_0) \subseteq \text{im}(\delta_1)$ and then

$$\text{im}(\delta_1) = \ker(f_0)$$

□

2. If A, B are G -modules such that their Herbrand quotient exist, show that

$$\mathcal{Q}_G(A \times B) = \mathcal{Q}_G(A)\mathcal{Q}_G(B)$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, and denote also by x the left multiplication by x in either A, B or $A \times B$, which is G -module map. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker_{A \times B}(x) &= \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid x(a, b) = (0, 0)\} \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid xa = 0, xb = 0\} \\ &= \ker_A(x) \times \ker_B(x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im}_{A \times B}(x) &= \{x(a, b) \mid (a, b) \in A \times B\} \\ &= \{(xa, xb) \mid (a, b) \in A \times B\} \\ &= \text{im}_A(x) \times \text{im}_B(x) \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_G(A \times B) &= \frac{[\ker_{A \times B}(\sigma - 1) : \text{im}_{A \times B}(\sigma - 1)]}{[\ker_{A \times B}(\sigma - 1) : \text{im}_{A \times B}(\sigma - 1)]} \\ &= \frac{[\ker_A(\sigma - 1) \times \ker_B(\sigma - 1) : \text{im}_A(\sigma - 1) \times \text{im}_B(\sigma - 1)]}{[\ker_{As}(G) \times \ker_{Bs}(G) : \text{im}_A(\sigma - 1) \times \text{im}_B(\sigma - 1)]} \\ &= \frac{[\ker_A(\sigma - 1) : \text{im}_A(\sigma - 1)] [\ker_B(\sigma - 1) : \text{im}_B(\sigma - 1)]}{[\ker_{As}(G) : \text{im}_A(\sigma - 1)] [\ker_{Bs}(G) : \text{im}_B(\sigma - 1)]} \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_G(A) \mathcal{Q}_G(B). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Let K/F be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G .

- (a) Let $v \in V_F$ be a place of F . Prove that G acts transitively on the set $\{w \mid v\}$ of places of K lying above v .
- (b) Check that the action of G on J_K coincides with the usual action of G on K^\times .
- (c) Let $v \in V_F$ and fix a place $w \mid v$. Show that the map

$$\text{Gal}(K_w/F_v) \longrightarrow G, \quad \sigma \longmapsto \sigma|_K$$

is an isomorphism onto the subgroup $G_w = \{\tau \in G \mid \tau(w) = w\}$ of G .

Proof. (a) Assume for contradiction that the action is not transitive. Then there exist two places $w, w' \mid v$ lying in distinct G -orbits. Thus the sets

$$\{\sigma(w) : \sigma \in G\}, \quad \{\sigma(w') : \sigma \in G\}$$

are disjoint.

By the Approximation Theorem, we may choose an element $\alpha \in K^\times$ satisfying

$$\|\sigma(\alpha)\|_w < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\sigma(\alpha)\|_{w'} > 1 \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G.$$

But then

$$\|N_{K/F}(\alpha)\|_w < 1 < \|N_{K/F}(\alpha)\|_{w'}$$

which is absurd because $N_{K/F}(\alpha) \in F$ and $w|_F = v = w'|_F$. Therefore, the assumption that w and w' lie in distinct orbits is impossible, and the action of G on the places above v must be transitive.

- (b) Let $\iota : K^\times \rightarrow J_K$ be the inclusion given by $\iota(\alpha) = (\alpha)_{w \in V_K}$. Then for $v \in V_F$ $\iota(\alpha)_{w|v} = (\alpha)_{w|v}$ and the action by $\sigma \in G$ is given by

$$\sigma \cdot (\alpha)_{w|v} = (\sigma(\alpha))_{w|v}$$

So we get

$$\sigma \cdot \iota(\alpha) = \iota(\sigma(\alpha))$$

as wanted.

- (c) Let $v \in V_F$ and fix a place $w | v$ of K . Consider the map

$$\text{Gal}(K_w/F_v) \longrightarrow G, \quad \tau \longmapsto \tau|_K,$$

where $\text{Gal}(K_w/F_v)$ denotes the group of F_v -automorphisms of the local field K_w . By definition, these automorphisms fix F_v . Moreover, since K_w/F_v is a finite extension of complete fields, every automorphism is automatically continuous with respect to the w -adic topology: this is because any field automorphism fixing the base field F_v is F_v -linear and linear maps on finite-dimensional normed vector spaces are continuous.

If $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K_w/F_v)$, then $\tau|_K$ is an automorphism of K fixing F , hence an element of $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Since τ is continuous and preserves the w -adic valuation, we have

$$w(\tau(x)) = w(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in K_w^\times.$$

This shows that $\tau|_K$ fixes the place w , because a place is determined by the valuation it induces. Hence

$$\tau|_K \in G_w := \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(w) = w\},$$

and the restriction map lands in G_w .

Suppose $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K_w/F_v)$ restricts to the identity on K . Since K is dense in K_w and τ is continuous, τ must act trivially on all of K_w . Hence $\tau = id$, so the map is injective.

Let $\sigma \in G_w$. Then σ is a field automorphism of K fixing F and satisfying $\sigma(w) = w$. Because σ preserves the valuation corresponding to w , it is continuous for the w -adic topology on K . Since K is dense in K_w , any continuous map from K extends uniquely to a continuous automorphism of the completion K_w . Thus σ extends to an element $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(K_w/F_v)$ whose restriction to K is σ , which proves surjectivity.

Since the map is injective with image G_w , we conclude that

$$\text{Gal}(K_w/F_v) \cong G_w.$$

□

4. Recall Hilbert's Theorem 90 from class: For any cyclic Galois extension of fields K/F with Galois group G and any map $f : G \rightarrow K^\times$ satisfying

$$f(\tau\sigma) = \tau(f(\sigma))f(\tau),$$

there exists some $\alpha \in K^\times$ such that

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in G.$$

Use this to show:

- (a) There is an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \longrightarrow F^\times \longrightarrow K^\times \longrightarrow \ker(N_{K/F}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

- (b) Deduce that the norm map is surjective for an extension of finite fields.

Proof. (a) Assume K/F is cyclic with generator $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ of order n . Observe firstly that $F^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is clearly injective since it is just the inclusion of F^\times into K^\times . We define

$$\varphi : K^\times \rightarrow \ker(N_{K/F}), \quad \varphi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)}$$

We have for any $\alpha \in K^\times$ that

$$N_{K/F} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} \right) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} \right) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i(\alpha)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{i+1}(\alpha)} = 1$$

because G is cyclic. So φ is well defined, and clearly it is a group morphism because σ is a group morphism.

It remains to show that $\ker(\varphi) = F^\times$ and that φ is surjective.

If $\varphi(\alpha) = 1$ it means that $\alpha = \sigma(\alpha)$, so α is fixed by σ and hence by

the whole Galois group since it is a generator, so $\alpha \in F^\times$. Conversely any $\alpha \in F^\times$ is fixed by σ , so $\varphi(\alpha) = 1$. Thus $\ker(\varphi) = F^\times$. Let $b \in K^\times$ such that $N_{K/F}(b) = 1$. Define a map

$$f : G \longrightarrow K^\times, \quad f(\sigma^i) = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j(b),$$

with the convention that the empty product $f(1)$ is equal to 1. We check that f is a 1-cocycle. For any $i, k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we have

$$f(\sigma^{i+k}) = \prod_{j=0}^{i+k-1} \sigma^j(b) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma^j(b) \right) \left(\prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} \sigma^j(b) \right) = f(\sigma^i) \sigma^i(f(\sigma^k)),$$

so $f(\tau\sigma) = \tau(f(\sigma))f(\tau)$ for all $\tau, \sigma \in G$. Thus Hilbert's Theorem 90 applies, and there exists $\alpha \in K^\times$ such that

$$f(\tau) = \frac{\tau(\alpha)}{\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } \tau \in G.$$

But $f(\sigma) = b$, so $b = \sigma(\alpha)/\alpha = \varphi(\alpha^{-1})$. Therefore b lies in the image of φ , proving that φ is surjective.

We have shown that $\ker(\varphi) = F^\times$ and that φ is surjective onto $\ker(N_{K/F})$. This yields the desired short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow F^\times \longrightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{\varphi} \ker(N_{K/F}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

- (b) Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite extension of finite fields. This extension is Galois with cyclic Galois group generated by the Frobenius automorphism

$$\sigma : x \mapsto x^q.$$

By the previous result, for any cyclic Galois extension K/F we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow F^\times \longrightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{\varphi} \ker(N_{K/F}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha)$ and $N_{K/F}$ is the field norm.

Applying this to $K = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $F = \mathbb{F}_q$, we obtain that φ is surjective onto $\ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})$. Since K^\times is a finite group, the exactness of the sequence implies

$$|\ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})| = \frac{|K^\times|}{|F^\times|} = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

But the image of the norm is a subgroup of F^\times , and we have

$$|F^\times| = q - 1.$$

Since $|K^\times| = |\ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})| \cdot |\text{im}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})|$, we obtain

$$|\text{im}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})| = \frac{q^n - 1}{|\ker(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q})|} = q - 1 = |F^\times|.$$

Hence $\text{im}(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}) = F^\times$. In other words, the norm map

$$N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$$

is surjective.

□